Suicide Risk Assessment: Reducing Liability and Improving Outcomes

August 26, 2021

Debra A. Pinals, M.D.
Clinical Professor of Psychiatry
Director, Program in Psychiatry, Law and Ethics
University of Michigan Medical School
Funding and Disclaimer

The Suicide Prevention Resource Center at the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center is supported by a grant from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), under Grant No. 1H79SM083028-01.

The views, opinions, and content expressed in this product do not necessarily reflect the views, opinions, or policies of CMHS, SAMHSA, or HHS.
About SPRC

The Suicide Prevention Resource Center (SPRC) is the only federally funded resource center devoted to advancing the implementation of the National Strategy for Suicide Prevention. SPRC is supported through a grant from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA).

SPRC builds capacity and infrastructure for effective suicide prevention through consultation, training, and resources for state, tribal, health/behavioral health, and community systems; professionals and professional education programs; and national public and private partners and stakeholders.
Disclosures

No financial relationships or conflicts of interest to report.
This activity is being accredited and implemented by the American Psychiatric Association (APA) as part of a subaward from the Suicide Prevention Resource Center (SPRC).

This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the accreditation requirements and policies of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education. The APA is accredited by the ACCME to provide continuing medical education for physicians. The American Psychiatric Association designates this live activity for a maximum of 1 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™*. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

The Suicide Prevention Resource Center is the sole owner of the activity content, including views expressed in written materials and by the speakers.
How To Download Handouts

Desktop
Use the “Handouts” area of the attendee control panel.

Instant Join Viewer
Click the “Page” symbol to display the “Handouts” area.
How To Participate in Q&A

Desktop
Use the “Questions” area of the attendee control panel.

Instant Join Viewer
Click the “?” symbol to display the “Questions” area.
Dr. Debra A. Pinals, MD

Clinical Professor of Psychiatry
Director, Program in Psychiatry, Law and Ethics
University of Michigan Medical Center
Roadmap and Learning Objectives for Today’s Meeting

1. Describe the relationship between mental illness and suicide as well as firearms and suicide
2. Review how malpractice liability works
3. Describe risk assessment methods to help reduce liability and improve outcomes
Objective 1: Describe the relationship between mental illness and suicide as well as firearms and suicide
Epidemiology

- 47,478 individuals died from suicide in 2019 and another 1.4M attempted suicide that year (American Foundation for Suicide Prevention, 2021)

- CDC reports suicide in 2019 as the second leading cause of death for individuals age 10-34 and fourth leading cause of death for individuals ages 35-54 (CDC, WISQARS Fatal Injury and Violence Data, 2021)

- Suicide rates increased over 20 years prior to 2019 especially for those over age 14 (CDC, WISQARS Fatal Injury and Violence Data, 2021)

- Suicide accounts for 1.4% of all deaths worldwide (Bachman, 2018)
Special Populations

- Veterans
- Transgender individuals
- Older adults
Firearms Overview

• 37% of US population own guns  *(Saad, 2020)*
• 42% of veterans own guns  *(Cleveland et al., 2017)*
Firearms and Suicide

- Half of suicides in 2019 were completed with a firearm \((\text{CDC, WISQARS Fatal Injury and Violence Data, 2021})\)

- Individuals with mental illness who own a gun are more likely to use for suicide vs. homicide \((\text{Swanson et al., 2015})\)
Suicide Risk and Specific Diagnoses

- Most suicides are related to psychiatric disease, especially: \cite{Bachman.2018}
  - Depression
  - Substance use disorders
  - Psychosis
- Other conditions that increase risk: anxiety-, personality-, eating-, and trauma-related disorders, as well as organic mental disorders \cite{Bachman.2018}
- TBI as a risk for suicidal ideation and suicide \cite{Wasserman.et.al.2008}
- Other conditions warranting review: Chronic pain, co-occurring health issues
Serious Mental Illness and Firearms

- 255 recently discharged psychiatric patients and 490 census-matched community residents
- A total of 15.3% reported firearms access
- Patient group, including major mental disorder and other mental disorders, was no more likely to perpetrate violence but were significantly more likely to report suicidality
- Violence is much more rare; suicide risk cannot be ignored where firearms are concerned

Source: Baumann and Teasdale, 2018
Objective 2: Review how malpractice liability works
Negligence Components-Review

- Duty
- Dereliction of duty
- Direct causation
- Damages
Standard of Care

A provider is required to exercise, in both diagnosis and treatment, that *reasonable degree* of knowledge and skill which is ordinarily possessed and exercised by other members of his or her profession in similar circumstances.
Foreseeability

• Examination in malpractice of whether the treating professional had sufficient information, or should have acquired such information, so as to make a reasonable judgment

• “Reasonably foreseeable”
  • Caution with confirmatory bias
    • Consciously or unconsciously confirming the views of the retaining party
  • Caution with hindsight bias
    • Exaggeration of foreseeability by knowing the harm ensued \textit{(LeBourgeois et al, 2007)}
Various Steps in a Malpractice Claim

- Complaint
- Responses
- Discovery
- Depositions
- Expert witness review
- Possible reports

- Consideration of options
  - Settle
  - Proceed to court
- Trial
- Verdict
Reducing Risk of Liability: Decreasing risk of lawsuit by family or other

- Bad feelings + bad outcome = higher chance of litigation
- In suicide cases others initiate lawsuits and they may have feelings distinct from those of the decedent
- Response following death can make a difference
Reducing Risk of Liability: Decreasing Bad Legal Outcome

- Documentation that is clear and shows reasoning in decisions
- Clear informed consent
- In some cases, documentation of patient’s capacity to provide information, seek help, etc.
- Good malpractice coverage
Objective 3: Describe risk assessment methods to help reduce liability and improve outcomes
Psychiatric Evaluation

- History (biopsychosocial)
- Mental status examination
- Varied sources of information
  - Self-report
  - Collaterals
  - Case files/records
Understanding Risk Factors

- Suicidal thoughts/behaviors
- Certain Psychiatric diagnoses (e.g., MDD, Scz, BPD)
- Physical illnesses (e.g., chronic pain)
- Psychosocial features
  - Lack of social support
  - Unemployment
  - Drop in socioeconomic status
  - Poor family relations
  - Domestic partner violence*
  - Recent stressor

(*Possible higher risk of attempts but not completed suicide. APA, 2016; Jacobs et al., 2003)
Are you having any thoughts about hurting or killing yourself?

Most frequently asked question, but may not yield full picture.
Suicide Risk Assessment-Overview

Suicide-specific screening questions:

• Current suicidal thoughts?
• Current plans?
• Any steps or attempt to enact plan?
• Any prior suicide attempt/s?
• Most lethal suicide attempt?
• Family history of suicide?
Suicide Risk Assessment-Overview

Associated suicide risk screening questions:

• Current depression? Current anxiety? Current psychosis?
• Current hopelessness?
• Current homicidal ideation?
• Current insomnia?
• Current substance use?
• Current psychosocial stressors?
Understanding Risk Factors

- Childhood traumas
- Genetic and familial effects
- Psychological features
  - Hopelessness
  - Psychic pain
  - Anxiety (severe)
  - Shame or humiliation
  - Psychological turmoil
  - Decreased self-esteem and perceived burdensomeness
  - Narcissistic vulnerability
  - Impulsiveness
  - Aggression/violence
  - Agitation

Source: APA, 2016; Jacobs et al., 2003
Understanding Risk Factors

Cognitive features
- Loss of executive function*
- Tunnel vision
- Polarized thinking
- Closed-mindedness

Demographic features
- Male
- Widowed, divorced, or single (esp. for men)
- Elderly
- Adolescent and young age
- White
- Gay, lesbian, or, or bisexual*

Additional Features
- Access to firearms
- Substance intoxication
- Unstable or poor therapeutic relationship

*Possible higher risk of attempts but not completed suicide. APA, 2016; Jacobs et al. 2003
Understanding Risk Factors

Cultural, ethnic, religious contexts

- Risk for completion vs. attempt (e.g., Whites and non-Hispanic Native Americans have higher rates of suicide)
- The role of suicide, understanding of death, understanding of higher powers
- The role of shame
- Mechanism of coping with stressor as accepted/not accepted
- Emotional expressiveness
Understanding Risk Factors

Pre-disposing risk factors, such as:

- Poor self-esteem
- Exposure to suicidal behavior
- Impulsivity
- Substance dependence
- Depression
- Hopelessness, helplessness, worthlessness

Precipitating risk factors, such as:

- Recent loss
- Anniversary reaction
- Availability of weapon
- Acute disappointment
Communication of Suicidal Intent

- Suicide communication was seen in approximately half of cases where an individual died by suicide. *(Pomplii et al., 2016)*

- Clinicians would do well to understand that suicide communication is one point of data in their risk assessments and not all people who communicate about suicide will die by suicide.
Communication of Suicidal Intent

- Not clear that suicidal communications are considered a “cry for help”
- May be a matter of personal style
- A person may verbalize suicidal ideas without really wishing to die
- A person may not verbalize suicidal ideas and really wish to die
Gun Inquiry-Standard of Care

Numerous articles/book chapters recommending inquiry
  • Particularly in suicide and homicide risk assessments
General Firearm Questions

• When did you obtain your gun?

• Risk of suicide within first week of gun purchase 57x higher than general population (Wintemute, 1999)

• Most suicides by firearm happen with firearms purchased years prior (Mann and Michel, 2016)
General Firearm Questions

• Do you have guns at home or any other place?
• Can you get a gun easily?
  • Unregulated gun access should be considered
• Do you intend to obtain or purchase a gun?
Firearm Risk Assessment

Tier 1 Questions:

- Firearm ownership
- Firearm access
- Firearm storage
- Ammunition storage
- Social support networks to assist with firearms

Source: Pinals and Anacker, 2016
Firearm Risk Assessment

Tier 2 Questions:

- Acculturation with guns
- Time spent with gun
- Violent fantasies associated with gun
- Psychodynamic attachment to gun
- Hobby/recreational or other intentionality
- Peer/family views

Source: Pinals and Anacker, 2016
Protective Factors

- Effective clinical care for mental, physical, and substance abuse disorders
- Easy access to a variety of clinical interventions and support for help-seeking
- Family and community support (connectedness)
- Support from ongoing medical and mental health care relationships
- Skills in problem solving, conflict resolution, and nonviolent ways of handling disputes
- Cultural and religious beliefs that discourage suicide and support instincts for self-preservation

Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021a; U. S. Public Health Service, 1999
Suicide Scales

Suicide Ideation Scale (SIS), Beck Hopelessness Scale (BHS), Beck Depression Inventory, Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation (BSS), etc.

Cannot predict who will die by suicide

CAN help in risk analysis

Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale *(Interian et al., 2018)*

PHQ-9 *(Simon et al., 2013)*

Other scales help anchor high, medium, low risk for consistency but also do not replace need for clinical interview and assessment
SAFE-T
Suicide Assessment Five-Step Evaluation and Triage

Identify risk factors (modifiable and fixed)
Identify protective factors (look at which protective factors can be enhanced)
Conduct suicide inquiry
Determine risk level/intervention
Document

Source: SAMHSA 2009; National Suicide Prevention Lifeline
Zero Suicide

AIM

• Aim
• Intervene
• Monitor
Risk Mitigation Strategies
Selected Risk Management Interventions

- Attend to safety
- Determine setting and supervision
  - Treat within least restrictive setting
- Work within clinician-patient relationship
- Work to understand client’s sense of recovery
- Coordinate treatment among providers and client
- Provide education to client (and others when indicated)
- Somatic treatments when indicated
Summary of Key Variables to “Stop a Suicide”

- Suicide intent
- Access to lethal means
- Motivation for suicide
- Purpose to go on living
- Quality of relationship with the treater
- Family history of suicide
Risk Mitigation

- Consider a biopsychosocial approach
- Lethal means restrictions
- Treatment of any underlying condition
  - Medications
  - Therapies
- Address substance use
- Access the proper level of care
  - Enhanced crisis services and development of “988”
- Consider voluntary options, and involuntary if necessary and appropriate
- Manage suicide risk even in treatment settings
- Consider collateral supports
- Safety planning, engagement with patient and their support system
Risk Assessment to Risk Management Example

- **Depression**: Pharmacology, therapy
- **Social isolation**: increase contact with providers, support groups
- **Co-occurring medical condition**: multi-disciplinary treatment
- **Co-occurring substance use**: tox screens, support groups
- **Access to suicide means**: Limit prescription periods
- **Multiple serious attempts**: periodic risk assessments
Debunking “No Suicide Contracts”

- Should not substitute for careful risk assessment
- Willingness or unwillingness to agree to such a contract is not a clear indication of hospitalization/no hospitalization
- Generally not recommended as treatment plan
- Continue to assess especially for patients who are intoxicated, acutely psychotic, agitated or impulsive
Documentation for Liability Management

- Document basic content of risk assessment and sources used (including collateral sources)
- Document reasoning, not just decision
- Write plan to address risk factors
- Keep emotions out of documentation
- If you don’t write it down, it didn’t happen
- Liberal use of consultation
Engage Patient and System of Care

Source: Adapted from: http://www-edc.eng.cam.ac.uk/research/healthcaredesign/hd4/medicationsafety/
Conclusions

• Suicide is one of the leading causes of liability for mental health professionals.

• Reducing liability involves:
  • Staying informed on risk assessment approaches
  • Continually reassess risk mitigation plans

• Self-care for providers is equally important given the challenges of caring for this patient population.
Resources

- Counseling on Access to Lethal Means (CALM) [https://zerosuicide.edc.org/resources/trainings-courses/CALM-course](https://zerosuicide.edc.org/resources/trainings-courses/CALM-course)
- Behavioral Health Care [https://sprc.org/settings/behavioral-health-care](https://sprc.org/settings/behavioral-health-care)
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