

# Evaluation Considerations Based on Montana Data

James Schut

Senior Program Evaluator

Cornerstone Community Mental Health Centers

December 10, 2007

# Evaluation Considerations Based on MT Data

- Formative & outcome considerations
- Generate dialogue and discussion
- Match data with your audience



# MT QPR Self-Efficacy Findings

Higher the score, greater the efficacy

QPR Stage	All Sites	Number
<b>Identify</b>		
Pre-QPR training	24.6	892
Post-QPR training	*38.2	871
Post-3 month	40.5	95
<i>0-60 point sub-scale</i>		
<b>Question</b>		
Pre-QPR training	14.3	892
Post-QPR training	*25.3	871
Post-3 month	27.3	95
<i>0-40 point sub-scale</i>		
<b>Persuade</b>		
Pre-QPR training	10.6	892
Post-QPR training	*18.5	871
Post-3 month	19.7	95
<i>0-30 point sub-scale</i>		
<b>Refer</b>		
Pre-QPR training	11.4	892

# Self-Efficacy Outcomes

## Description

- Approximately 30% of the 3 month follow-up respondents (n=95) had taken actions to prevent suicide.

## Test for significance

- Following efficacy item subscales were significantly related to actions designed to prevent suicide:
  - Questioning
  - Persuading

# Training Exit Survey Supports Efficacy Findings

- Recruitment Information
  - Supervisor and required
- Measures readiness & Intention
  - More ready to help
- Trainers knowledge
- Training Quality
  - Practical, understandable, cultural difference?